

UNITED STANDON PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

. 19302111111

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/965,356 11/06/97 BERNFIELD М CMCC533 **EXAMINER** HM12/1130 PATREA L PABST BAKER, A ARNOALL GOLDEN & GREGORY LLP **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2800 ONE ATLANTIC CENTER 1201 WEST PEACHTREET STREET 1632 ATLANTA GA 30309-3450 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/965,356

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Bernfield et al.

Advisory Action Group Art Unit Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D. 1632 THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

	a)		expi	res	_ months from	the mailing date	of the final reje	ection.				
b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six mare rejection.									ng date of ti han six mon	his Advisory Action, whichever ths from the date of the final		
	dat	e on ermi	whic ning t	h the response he period of ex	, the petition, a tension and the	nd the tee have corresponding	heen filed is the	e date of th	ne respons	se and also t	e and the appropriate fee. The the date for the purposes of t to 37 CFR 1.17 will be bove.	
	Ap per	pella riod	ant's for r	Brief is due e esponse set t	two months f forth above, v	rom the date whichever is I	of the Notice later). See 37	of Appea 7 CFR 1.1	al filed or 91(d) ar	n nd 37 CFR	1.192(a).	
Ap bu	bitc	ant	s res	sponse to tne	i tinai rejectio	n, filed on	<i>Oct 27, 199</i> on for allowan	<i>9</i> has b	been cor	nsidered w	rith the following effect,	
X	The	The proposed amendment(s):										
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.											
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).											
	X they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).											
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.											
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.											
	NOTE: No support is found in the specification for the claim language reciting "proteoglycan portions thereof."											2
	specification teaches how to make transgenic mice having a syndecan transgene, but does not contem											
	making transgenic animals with "proteoglycan portions thereof."											
		Ap	plica	nt's response	has overcon	ne the followi	ng rejection(s):				_
				osed or ame imely filed an		ncelling the no	on-allowable o	claims.	w	ould be all	lowable if submitted in a	_
	Th- for	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:										
												_
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.											,
X	Foi	r p u	rpose	es of Appeal.	the status of	the claims is	as follows (s	ee attach	ed writt	en explana	etion if anyly	
	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:											
	Claims allowed:											-
	Claims rejected: 1-15											_
			-								pproved by the Examiner.	_
	No	te ti	he at	tached Inforr	nation Disclos	sure Stateme	nt(s), PTO-14	49, Paper	No(s).			
	Oth							-, - , -	,	DEBORA	or al) Crox cl NH CROUCH Y EXAMINER	

GROUP 1800 /63

Application/Control Number: 08/965,356

Art Unit: 1632

ADVISORY ACTION

Page 2

The after final amendment filed on October 27, 1999 (Paper No. 14) has not been entered. The amendments to the claims do not place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive.

The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of Claims 1-15 stands. Applicants argue that the specification is enabling for syndecan transgenic rats as well as syndecan transgenic mice. Applicants argue that the references cited by the Examiner to evidence unpredictability in the art of making transgenic animals of various species instead indicates that syndecan transgenic rats are enabled. Applicants arguments are directed to producing expression of a transgene in both rats and mice. However, Applicants have not addressed the issue raised with regard to obtaining sufficient expression of the transgene at the appropriate site to produce the desired phenotype. It is not enough to get expression of a transgene. The transgene must be expressed at a level sufficient to have an effect. The state of the art renders it unpredictable as to whether one skilled in the art could produce a transgenic rat or other rodent (with the exception of mice) expressing a sufficient amount of any syndecan to produce an obese phenotype. In the present case, undue experimentation would have been required to produce the claimed transgenic rodents.

The specification does not clearly define the "obese phenotype" recited in the claims. The specification clearly indicates that the mice of the invention exhibit maturity onset obesity. Limitation to transgenic mice exhibiting maturity onset obesity is therefore appropriate.

The specification does not provide support for the claimed syndecan transgenic rodents expressing a "proteoglycan portion thereof." The specification teaches how to make transgenic mice having a syndecan transgene, but does not contemplate making transgenic rodents with "proteoglycan portions thereof."

Application/Control Number: 08/965,356

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne-Marie Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-9155. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jasemine Chambers, can be reached on (703) 308-2035. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

Page 3